

Exhibit 1

Altier, Jennifer Objections

22	6	23	7	Relevance	
44	6	44	13	Relevance	
45	16	45	21	Relevance	
63	1	63	23	Relevance; hearsay	
182	2	182	5	Relevance	

Ashley Demetra P Objections

Start Page	Start Line	End Page	End Line	Note
10	23	10	23	Plaintiffs object to the extent that certain Defendant deposition designations of the DEA depositions and certain 30(b)(6) depositions are duplicative of one another.Plaintiffs further object to any testimony by current or former
22	17	22	24	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
28	7	28	12	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
28	20	29	1	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
29	23	30	2	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
30	4	30	12	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
30	18	31	4	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
35	18	36	19	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
38	4	41	7	speculative, misstates testimony, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
46	20	47	2	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
50	18	51	13	speculative, misstates testimony, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
57	12	57	19	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
58	9	58	13	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
62	20	62	25	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
64	13	64	23	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
65	6	65	12	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
65	20	66	17	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
69	21	70	20	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
71	1	73	14	foundation, beyond witness authorization, speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
74	1	74	18	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
78	1	80	7	compound, misstatement, speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
81	19	82	2	speculative, misstates testimony, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
84	12	85	4	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
88	2	88	18	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
93	7	93	15	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
95	6	95	24	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
97	14	98	6	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
99	2	99	9	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
103	14	103	20	speculative, outside witness authorization, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
103	24	105	3	foundation, beyond witness authorization, speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
135	21	136	18	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
138	22	140	18	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
141	9	141	15	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
146	2	146	8	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
147	8	148	1	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
148	9	148	15	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
150	13	150	18	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
153	15	153	19	speculative, misstates testimony, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
160	4	160	13	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
160	24	161	2	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
163	8	163	18	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
172	20	173	12	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
196	20	197	19	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
213	2	213	20	relevance, foundation
219	1	219	17	relevance, foundation
222	16	222	22	speculation
241	22	242	15	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
248	15	28	24	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
250	24	251	7	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
329	14	329	22	asked and answered, speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation

Baran, Nancy Objections

118	8	119	5	foundation; hearsay	
315	11	317	3	argumentative; hearsay; foundation	

Barnett, Jeannette Objections

19	6	21	9	relevance	
22	6	23	7	relevance	
24	4	24	20	relevance	
25	11	25	19	relevance	
29	5	29	16	relevance	
30	10	30	21	relevance	
31	12	33	2	relevance	
35	12	35	15	relevance	
36	11	37	15	relevance	
44	6	44	13	relevance	
45	16	45	21	relevance	
63	1	63	23	relevance	
182	2	182	5	relevance	
183	11	184	1	relevance	
248	20	249	8	relevance	
251	18	251	22	relevance	
262	19	263	21	relevance	
266	19	268	21	relevance	
270	21	271	12	relevance	
286	2	286	8	relevance	

BISH.DEB.OBJECTIONS.TO.WAG

480	17	481	9	foundation, speculation, vague as to "unusually large quantity", relevance, calls for expert opinion
481	12	482	2	foundation, speculation, vague as to "unusually large", relevance, calls for expert opinion
483	19	483	24	foundation, speculation, vague as to "unusually large", relevance, calls for expert opinion
485	20	486	5	foundation, speculation, vague as to "unusual quantities", vague as to "justified", relevance, calls for expert opinion
486	7	486	12	foundation, speculation, vague as to "illegitimate channels", relevance, calls for expert opinion
486	19	487	20	vague as to "unusually large quantities" and "unusually large orders", relevance, speculation, calls for expert opinion
492	6	492	13	foundation, relevance

Boothe, Douglas

362	23	364	7	relevance
-----	----	-----	---	-----------

Boyer, Andrew Objections

404	14	405	3	Overbroad, lacks foundation, vague, confusing to jury	
405	6	405	9	Overbroad, lacks foundation, vague, confusing to jury	
405	12	405	16	Overbroad, lacks foundation, vague, confusing to jury	
405	19	406	11	Overbroad, lacks foundation, vague, confusing to jury	
406	14	406	14	Overbroad, lacks foundation, vague, confusing to jury	
406	18	406	22	Overbroad, lacks foundation, vague, confusing to jury	
407	13	407	21	Overbroad, lacks foundation, vague, confusing to jury	
407	24	408	9	Overbroad, lacks foundation, vague, confusing to jury	
408	18	409	23	Overbroad, lacks foundation, vague, confusing to jury	

Brantley, Eric Objections

548	20	548	25	Hearsay	
549	1	549	21	Hearsay	
550	3	550	22	Hearsay	
551	1	551	11	Hearsay	
551	18	551	25	Hearsay	
552	1	552	25	Hearsay	
553	1	553	25	Hearsay	
554	1	554	25	Hearsay	
555	1	555	7	Hearsay	

Clarke, Michael Objections

28	6	30	5	Relevance	
143	1	143	9	Relevance; hearsay	
221	13	222	9	argumentative; foundation	

Colligen, Bruce Objections

103	23	104	16	Asked and answered, speculative, foundation
-----	----	-----	----	---

Day, Matthew Objections

110	12	110	19	Non-responsive	
183	20	184	12	Non-responsive, speculation	
366	19	367	16	Overbroad, vague, ambiguous, does not refer to specific exhibits	

Dempsey, Michele Volume 2 Objections

584	24	585	6	Foundation, compound, relevance, hearsay	
600	8	600	12	Asked and answered, speculation, compound, relevance, calls for expert testimony	
600	14	600	19	Asked and answered, speculation, compound, relevance, calls for expert testimony	
600	21	600	22	Asked and answered, relevance, foundation, calls for expert testimony, speculation, compound	
601	7	601	7	Hearsay, foundation, speculation, relevance	
601	10	601	16	Hearsay, foundation, speculation, relevance, compound	
615	19	615	22	Foundation, speculation, relevance	
615	19	615	19		
616	5	616	14	Foundation, speculation, relevance	
616	24	617	5	Speculation, relevance, foundation	
654	19	654	22	Foundation, relevance, speculation	
663	24	664	5	Hearsay, speculation, relevance, compound, asked and answered, foundation	
674	13	674	16	Speculation, relevance, foundation	
680	16	680	17	Speculation, relevance	
684	23	685	10	Compound, speculation, relevance, foundation	
691	5	691	16	Compound, foundation, speculation, relevance	
691	19	691	20	Compound, foundation, speculation, relevance	
694	9	694	14	Relevance, speculation, foundation	
694	18	694	21	Speculation, relevance, foundation	
694	23	695	1	Speculation, relevance, foundation	
695	23	696	5	Speculation, relevance, foundation, hearsay	
696	17	696	22	Foundation, speculation, relevance, hearsay	
710	17	710	20	Speculation, foundation, relevance	
727	2	727	7	Foundation, relevance, compound	
727	23	728	3	Foundation, relevance, compound, speculation	
732	15	732	18	Compound, relevance	
734	20	734	24	Hearsay, foundation	
735	6	735	8	Speculation	
735	10	735	15	Speculation	

Garcia, Elizabeth Objections no Counters

46	11	47	24	relevance	
48	3	48	17	relevance	
50	20	51	11	relevance, foundation	
53	5	53	19	relevance	
53	23	54	4	relevance	
54	8	55	19	relevance	
55	22	56	9	relevance	
56	15	56	18	relevance	
56	21	57	3	relevance	
57	20	58	5	relevance	
58	8	58	24	relevance	
61	17	61	21	relevance	
61	24	62	2	relevance	
62	21	63	17	relevance	
63	22	64	2	relevance	
64	5	64	6	relevance	
64	8	64	19	relevance	
65	5	65	15	relevance	
65	24	66	4	relevance	
66	7	66	11	relevance	
66	12	67	4	relevance	
67	14	67	17	relevance	
67	18	68	1	relevance	
68	6	68	23	relevance	
69	2	69	21	relevance	
72	9	72	20	relevance	
72	23	73	12	relevance	
74	5	74	14	relevance	
76	20	77	6	relevance	
77	11	77	18	relevance	

78	10	78	24	relevance	
79	17	79	18	relevance	
79	21	79	22	relevance	
80	2	80	8	relevance	
80	15	81	19	relevance	
82	8	82	12	relevance	
83	7	83	11	relevance	
85	12	85	20	relevance	
85	22	86	3	relevance	
86	7	86	14	relevance	
86	18	86	23	relevance	
87	2	87	11	relevance	
88	8	88	17	relevance, hearsay, foundation	
88	20	89	9	relevance, hearsay, foundation	
94	16	95	12	relevance	
215	2	215	11	foundation	
215	14	216	4	foundation	
248	7	250	1	foundation	

Griffin, Eric Objections Joint[1]

42	19	42	25	foundation; misstates prior testimony
44	10	44	22	calls for legal conclusion; speculation; foundation
52	3	52	23	relevance
57	15	58	15	relevance; vague
115	12	115	22	relevance
116	11	116	24	relevance
116	25	117	9	hearsay; relevance
117	10	117	22	relevance
125	17	125	20	hearsay; relevance
141	16	141	23	hearsay
154	18	158	5	hearsay; relevance; foundation
158	12	160	19	hearsay; relevance; foundation
160	20	161	3	relevance
161	4	163	14	hearsay; relevance; foundation
164	4	164	16	relevance
211	1	211	11	speculation; foundation
226	4	227	1	hearsay
263	21	264	9	speculation; foundation
267	5	267	12	calls for legal conclusion
267	13	267	18	vague
279	16	280	15	relevance; speculation; foundation

Harper-Avilla Stacy Plaintiff Objections

Start Page	Start Line	End Page	End Line	Note
17	18	17	18	Plaintiffs object to the extent that certain Defendant deposition designations of the DEA depositions and certain 30(b)(6) depositions are duplicative of one another.Plaintiffs further object to any testimony by current or former
18	19	19	9	doesn't accurately describe authorization of witness, vague, broad
31	3	31	7	vague, speculative
33	13	33	23	vague, confusing
35	1	35	24	speculative, compound, vague, confusing, foundation, relevance
36	10	35	5	vague, speculative, confusing, foundation, overbroad, relevance
39	20	39	25	vague, speculative, confusing, foundation, overbroad, relevance
40	2	40	8	vague, speculative, confusing, foundation, overbroad, relevance
42	22	43	4	speculative, vague, foundation
45	9	45	17	relevance, scope, overbroad, vague, foundation, speculative
46	1	46	8	relevance, scope, overbroad, vague, foundation, speculative
52	23	56	9	vague, speculative, confusing, foundation, overbroad, relevance
59	14	59	22	relevance, scope, overbroad, vague, foundation, speculative
60	16	61	1	relevance, scope, overbroad, vague, foundation, speculative
61	22	62	6	relevance, scope, overbroad, vague, foundation, speculative
64	2	64	16	relevance, scope, overbroad, vague, foundation, speculative
68	12	68	25	outside witness authorization, relevance, scope, overbroad, vague, foundation, speculative
70	9	70	12	relevance, scope, overbroad, vague, foundation, speculative
72	19	73	4	relevance, scope, overbroad, vague, foundation, speculative
75	20	77	8	relevance, scope, overbroad, vague, foundation, speculative
78	25	78	24	relevance, scope, overbroad, vague, foundation, speculative
82	12	82	18	relevance, scope, overbroad, vague, foundation, speculative
85	15	85	20	relevance, scope, overbroad, vague, foundation, speculative
89	4	90	12	relevance, scope, overbroad, vague, foundation, speculative
91	25	92	6	relevance, scope, overbroad, vague, foundation, speculative
92	20	93	2	relevance, scope, overbroad, vague, foundation, speculative
94	12	94	18	relevance, scope, overbroad, vague, foundation, speculative
95	5	95	16	relevance, scope, overbroad, vague, foundation, speculative
97	7	97	13	relevance, scope, overbroad, vague, foundation, speculative
98	17	98	24	relevance, scope, overbroad, vague, foundation, speculative
99	12	99	17	relevance, scope, overbroad, vague, foundation, speculative
99	24	100	6	relevance, scope, overbroad, vague, foundation, speculative
104	21	106	14	relevance, scope, overbroad, vague, foundation, speculative
106	16	107	14	relevance, scope, overbroad, vague, foundation, speculative
109	20	110	10	relevance, scope, overbroad, vague, foundation, speculative
111	15	112	7	relevance, scope, overbroad, vague, foundation, speculative
112	14	112	18	relevance, scope, overbroad, vague, foundation, speculative
131	7	131	11	relevance, scope, overbroad, vague, foundation, speculative
131	22	133	9	speculative, hearsay

Howard June P Objections

Start Page	Start Line	End Page	End Line	Note
12	18	12	18	Plaintiffs object to the extent that certain Defendant deposition designations of the DEA depositions and certain 30(b)(6) depositions are duplicative of one another. Plaintiffs further object to any testimony by current or former
15	11	15	23	calls for legal conclusion, speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
20	4	20	12	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
20	19	21	4	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
25	25	26	5	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
29	23	31	16	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
34	6	34	15	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
40	18	43	24	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
44	23	44	4	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
47	2	47	13	speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
48	4	48	8	relevance, speculative, witness has no knowledge
49	2	49	9	argumentative, speculative, relevance, vague, scope, foundation
50	12	50	21	mischaracterizes prior testimony, relevance, speculative
54	17	55	22	scope, relevance, lawyer colloquy
56	2	56	8	relevance, vague, scope
56	23	57	12	relevance, scope, calls for legal conclusion
57	21	58	7	scope, relevance, vague

Kuntz, Ron (1.24.19) Objections

355	4	355	8	Speculation, relevance, compound, foundation	
357	12	357	16	Speculation, foundation, compound, calls for expert testimony	
359	5	359	7	Relevance, foundation. calls for expert testimony	

Leitch, Nathalie Objections

21	8	22	17	relevance	
44	20	45	14	relevance	

Lin, David Objections

368	5	368	8	Speculation, compound, foundation, speculation	
368	10	369	7	Speculation, compound, foundation, speculation	
369	9	369	10	Relevance, foundation, speculation	
369	12	370	6	Relevance, foundation, speculation	
374	12	374	21	Nonresponsive	

Mapes Michael Volume 1 Plaintiff Objections

Start Page	Start Line	End Page	End Line	Note
17	24	17	24	Plaintiffs object to the extent that certain Defendant deposition designations of the DEA depositions and certain 30(b)(6) depositions are duplicative of one another.
17	25	17	25	Plaintiffs further object to any testimony by current or former DEA agents designated by the Defendants to the extent that such testimony seeks to define what the law requires or whether Defendants' conduct violated or did not violate the law.
17	26	17	26	Plaintiffs object to any questions that treat Mapes as a 30(b)(6) witness as beyond the scope
23	16	23	20	vague
35	4	41	11	speculative, foundation, relevance, scope
53	7	53	17	relevance, vague, scope
73	1	73	14	scope, relevance
73	22	74	8	scope, relevance
75	23	76	3	scope, relevance
77	1	77	7	speculative, vague, foundation, relevance, scope
77	13	77	24	speculative, vague, foundation, relevance, scope
80	14	84	16	speculative, improper hypothetical, vague, foundation, relevance, scope
85	5	85	6	relevance, scope
87	2	87	15	relevance, vague, incomplete hypothetical, scope
87	21	88	2	relevance, vague, scope
89	25	90	14	relevance, vague, incomplete hypothetical, scope
91	8	91	12	relevance, vague, incomplete hypothetical, scope
92	13	92	25	relevance, vague, incomplete hypothetical, scope
94	22	96	22	relevance, vague, scope
97	25	98	13	relevance, vague, scope
98	19	94	24	relevance, vague, scope
102	4	102	12	vague, speculation, relevance
103	6	104	4	vague, scope, speculation, relevance
125	3	135	6	vague, scope, speculation, relevance
139	22	149	12	scope, relevance
146	11	146	20	scope, foundation, vague, relevance
148	21	149	16	scope, foundation, vague, relevance
151	7	153	15	scope, foundation, vague, relevance
166	5	166	17	scope, foundation, vague, relevance
167	18	168	2	scope, foundation, vague, relevance
172	7	172	14	scope, foundation, vague, relevance
178	11	179	9	scope, foundation, vague, relevance
181	1	181	13	scope, foundation, vague, relevance
181	4	182	14	scope, foundation, vague, relevance
183	23	183	12	scope, foundation, vague, relevance
188	14	189	2	scope, foundation, vague, relevance
197	14	198	12	scope, foundation, vague, relevance
203	25	205	11	scope, foundation, vague, relevance
208	11	210	16	scope, lack of timeframe, foundation, vague, relevance
214	10	214	17	scope, foundation, vague, relevance
215	16	216	2	cumulative, vague, relevance
216	10	217	1	scope, foundation, vague, relevance
218	7	218	23	scope, foundation, vague, relevance
219	22	219	10	scope, foundation, vague, relevance
221	13	221	21	incomplete hypothetical, scope, foundation, vague, relevance
222	16	224	24	incomplete hypothetical, calls for expert testimony, scope, foundation, vague, relevance
224	21	227	2	incomplete hypothetical, speculation, calls for expert testimony, scope, foundation, vague, relevance
227	3	232	20	relevance, speculative, scope, argumentative, misleading
235	21	236	4	asked and answered, relevance, form
239	2	239	16	scope, relevance, vague, incomplete hypothetical
240	6	240	16	scope, relevance, vague, incomplete hypothetical
240	18	248	15	cumulative, scope, vague, relevance
249	11	250	10	relevance, scope, vague, calls for legal conclusion, improper hypothetical
265	10	266	20	vague, scope, foundation, speculation, relevance
267	2	268	1	vague, scope, foundation, speculation, relevance
268	14	268	19	vague, scope, foundation, speculation, relevance
276	2	276	22	vague, scope, foundation, speculation, relevance
277	24	278	11	vague, scope, foundation, speculation, relevance
280	13	281	1	vague, scope, foundation, speculation, relevance
283	9	283	18	scope, vague, calls for legal conclusion, relevance
283	20	284	3	vague, scope, foundation, relevance

Mapes Michael Volume 2 Plaintiff Objections

Start Page	Start Line	End Page	End Line	Note
324	11	324	11	Plaintiffs object to the extent that certain Defendant deposition designations of the DEA depositions and certain 30(b)(6) depositions are duplicative of one another.
324	12	324	12	Plaintiffs further object to any testimony by current or former DEA agents designated by the Defendants to the extent that such testimony seeks to define what the law requires or whether Defendants' conduct violated or did
525	19	530	17	scope, relevance, foundation, speculative

MARTIN, KEITH - OBJECTIONS

65	22	66	2	FRE 403; Speculation; Lack of Foundation
82	20	82	22	Objection to Form
83	3	83	5	Objection to Form
85	10	85	14	FRE 403; Vague; Misleading; Argumentative
85	17	85	19	FRE 403; Vague; Misleading; Argumentative
85	20	85	23	FRE 403; Vague; Misleading; Argumentative
86	1	86	3	FRE 403; Vague; Misleading; Argumentative
95	14	95	16	FRE 403; Speculation; Lack of Foundation
96	1	96	5	FRE 403; Speculation; Lack of Foundation
96	9	96	12	FRE 403; Speculation; Lack of Foundation
96	17	96	21	FRE 403; Speculation; Lack of Foundation
96	25	96	25	FRE 403; Speculation; Lack of Foundation
98	22	99	1	FRE 403; Not CT1 Evidence
99	3	99	13	FRE 403; Irrelevant; Not CT1 Evidence
100	20	100	24	FRE 403; Irrelevant; Not CT1 Evidence
101	1	101	1	FRE 403; Irrelevant; Not CT1 Evidence
102	8	102	12	FRE 403; Irrelevant; Not CT1 Evidence
102	19	102	19	FRE 403; Irrelevant; Not CT1 Evidence
103	20	103	23	FRE 403; Speculation; Lack of Foundation
104	2	104	5	FRE 403; Speculation; Lack of Foundation
104	10	104	10	FRE 403; Speculation; Lack of Foundation
104	19	104	21	FRE 403; Irrelevant; Not CT1 Evidence
105	3	105	4	FRE 403; Irrelevant; Not CT1 Evidence
178	23	179	6	FRE 403; Incomplete hypothetical; Not CT1 Evidence
179	13	180	1	FRE 403; Incomplete hypothetical; Not CT1 Evidence
180	5	180	7	FRE 403; Incomplete hypothetical; Not CT1 Evidence
213	1	213	4	FRE 403; Vague; Calls for legal conclusion
213	6	213	8	FRE 403; Vague; Calls for legal conclusion
213	18	213	24	FRE 403; Vague; Calls for legal conclusion
214	3	214	9	FRE 403; Vague; Calls for legal conclusion

214	12	214	13	FRE 403; Vague; Calls for legal conclusion
214	18	214	19	FRE 403; Vague; Calls for legal conclusion
223	7	223	15	FRE 403; Incomplete hypothetical; Calls for legal conclusion; Lack of Foundation
223	21	223	23	FRE 403; Incomplete hypothetical; Calls for legal conclusion; Lack of Foundation
223	25	223	25	FRE 403; Incomplete hypothetical; Calls for legal conclusion; Lack of Foundation
329	19	329	24	FRE 403

McCormick, Jinping Objections

187	12	187	14	argumentative, relevance	
187	19	189	18	argumentative, relevance	
226	5	227	23	Relevance	

McGinn, Colleen Objections no Counters

129	5	130	21	Non-responsive; speculation; lacks foundation
-----	---	-----	----	---

McGregor-Beck, Roxanne Objections

314	14	314	24	Compound, foundation, asked and answered	
339	1	339	2	Speculation, foundation, relevance	

Moskovitz, Bruce Day 2 Objections

584	17	584	20	Speculation, relevance, foundation, compound	
588	11	588	15	Compound, relevance, foundation	
665	8	665	13	Compound, relevance	

Myers, David Objections

103	6	105	18	relevance	
114	21	115	19	relevance	
183	21	186	4	argumentative	

Napoli, Thomas Objections

88	2	89	5	relevance	
338	19	341	4	foundation; relevance	
341	5	343	17	relevance	

Nataline, Terri Objections no

257	24	258	9	relevance	
276	5	278	19	relevance	

Perfetto, Michael Objections

118	8	119	5	foundation; hearsay	
315	11	317	3	argumentative; hearsay; foundation	

Prevoznik Thomas Volume 1 Objections

Start Page	Start Line	End Page	End Line	Note
18	11	18	11	Plaintiffs object to the extent that certain Defendant deposition designations of the DEA depositions and certain 30(b)(6) depositions are duplicative of one another. Plaintiffs further object to any testimony by current or former
74	7	75	13	foundation, relevance, improper characterization, scope
78	8	78	20	scope
85	15	86	3	sope
86	24	88	6	scope
105	10	105	21	vague, relvance, scope
143	1	143	12	misstates testimony, vague, relevance
144	11	145	3	vague, relevance, scope
151	1	151	17	vague, relevance, scope
157	18	162	6	cumulative, vague, relevance, scope
182	12	185	2	cumulative, vague, relevance, scope
230	1	236	24	scope
243	24	245	5	scope
253	4	263	17	scope, calls for legal conclusion, relevance, speculation
321	11	321	21	misstates evidence, foundation, relevance
366	24	374	9	argumentative, scope, calls for legal conclusion
390	17	403	19	scope

Prevoznik Thomas Prevoznik Volume 2 Objections

Start Page	Start Line	End Page	End Line	Note
430	2	430	2	Plaintiffs object to the extent that certain Defendant deposition designations of the DEA depositions and certain 30(b)(6) depositions are duplicative of one another. Plaintiffs further object to any testimony by current or forme
445	166	449	18	scope, foundation, vague, speculative, relevance
460	7	472	13	improper hypotheticals, asked and answered several times, cumulative, scope
489	21	496	24	improper hypotheticals, asked and answered several times, cumulative, scope
503	18	505	6	cumulative, asked and answered, scope
521	17	523	21	scope, foundation, vague, speculative, relevance

Prevoznik Thomas Volume 3 Objections

Start Page	Start Line	End Page	End Line	Note
1060	23	1060	23	Plaintiffs object to the extent that certain Defendant deposition designations of the DEA depositions and certain 30(b)(6) depositions are duplicative of one another.Plaintiffs further object to any testimony by current or former
1090	13	1090	21	scope
1110	6	1110	13	mischaracterizes testimony
1112	15	1113	10	speculation
1118	5	1118	20	scope, speculation
1119	18	1118	24	scope, foundation
1127	13	1128	3	speculation
1134	20	1135	6	asked and answered, argumentative
1137	10	1137	19	vague, foundation, mischaracterizes the document
1151	5	1151	15	relevance, scope, speculation
1155	6	1156	15	relevance, scope, speculation
1162	24	1163	13	relevance, scope, speculation
1175	7	1189	9	scope, cumulative
1201	10	1223	17	asked and answered, scope, cumulative
1232	10	1246	13	relevance, scope, cumulative
1255	11	1262	22	relevance, scope, cumulative

Rannazzisi Joseph Plaintiff Objections volume 1

Start Page	Start Line	End Page	End Line	Note
16	20	16	20	Plaintiffs object to the extent that certain Defendant deposition designations of the DEA depositions and certain 30(b)(6) depositions are duplicative of one another. Plaintiffs further object to any testimony by current or former
19	18	19	19	relevance, lawyer colloquoy
19	24	20	11	argumentative, speculative
20	7	200	9	relevance
22	11	23	13	speculative, vague, overbroad, argumentative, beyond scope, requires getting into state of mind of dea, relevance
23	22	24	1	speculative, vague, overbroad, argumentative, beyond scope, relevance, foundation
24	3	24	16	speculative, vague, overbroad, argumentative, beyond scope, relevance, foundation
24	23	25	20	relevance, argumentative, speculative, foundation
26	3	28	16	speculative, vague, overbroad, argumentative, beyond scope, requires getting into state of mind of dea, relevance, beyond touhy, asked and answered several times, foundation, compound
30	16	30	19	speculative, vague, overbroad, argumentative, beyond scope, requires getting into state of mind of dea, relevance
31	5	31	19	speculative, foundation, vague, overbroad, argumentative, beyond scope, requires getting into state of mind of dea, relevance
32	9	33	23	relevance, vague, argumenative
34	16	39	4	speculative, witness lacks requisite personal knowledge of document, vague, overbroad, argumentative, beyond scope, requires getting into state of mind of dea, relevance, beyond touhy, foundation, compound
44	1	46	7	speculative, vague, overbroad, argumentative, beyond scope, requires getting into state of mind of dea, relevance, beyond touhy, asked and answered several times, foundation, compound
49	24	50	1	foundation, vague, speculative, overbroad, argumentative, beyond scope, mischaracterizes earlier testimony
52	10	53	2	relevance, vague, foundation, scope
53	3	53	24	relevance, vague, foundation, scope
59	18	61	12	relevance, vague, speculative, scope, argumentative, foundation, overbroad
71	16	72	9	relevance, foundation, speculative, consultant privilege, vague, argumentative, scope
74	25	78	25	relevance, foundation, speculative, consultant privilege, vague, argumentative, scope
79	25	84	20	relevance, foundation, speculative, consultant privilege, vague, argumentative, scope
85	3	88	12	relevance, foundation, speculative, consultant privilege, vague, argumentative, scope, mischaracterizes testimony
88	3	88	25	relevance, foundation, argumentative
89	15	90	6	relevance, argumentative, foundation, speculative, vague, scope
92	24	93	18	relevance, foundation, scope, speculative, vague
9	3	94	6	vague, foundation, duplicative
94	8	94	15	vague, foundation, relevance
95	1	95	22	relevance, duplicative, scope, vague
97	25	98	19	relevance, duplicative, scope, vague
100	18	103	10	vague, speculative, overbroad, argumentative, beyond scope, requires getting into state of mind of dea, relevance, beyond touhy, asked and answered several times, incomplete hypothetical, foundation, compound
103	23	106	24	vague, foundation, relevance, overbroad, beyond scope, speculative
108	17	109	16	vague, mischaracterizes witness testimony, beyond scope, foundation, speculative, relevance, scope
110	7	110	18	relevance
111	12	111	20	vague, incomplete hypothetical, scope, asked and answered, duplicative, vague, scope
111	22	112	14	mischarazerizes testimony, vague, relevance, scope, asked and answered, foundation, speculative, completeness, rule 106
116	11	116	22	vague
120	6	120	21	relevance, vague, speculative, duplicative, argumentative, foundation, scope, asked and answered
120	23	121	15	relevance, vague, speculative, duplicative, scope
126	5	126	23	relevance
128	25	129	22	relevance, scope, vague
131	21	132	3	vague, duplicative, foundation, overbroad, speculative
132	12	132	22	vague, duplicative, argumentative, overbroad
140	14	141	4	vague, duplicative, speculative, argumentative, relevance
171	9	171	15	vague, beyond scope, relevance, argumentative, speculative, overbroad
180	1	180	5	relevance, vague, speculative, argumentative
180	21	181	6	relevance, speculative, lacks personal knowledge, mistates testimony, vague, argumentative, asked and answered
182	6	182	22	vague, relevance, beyond scope, foundation, speculative, overbroad
184	13	184	19	vague, speculative, foundation
185	11	186	13	compound, relevance, vague, completeness, witness lacks personal knowledge, foundation
188	23	190	8	relevance
190	12	194	2	relevance, vague, foundation, rule 106, mistates record and evidence, hearsay, completeness
195	9	196	21	vague, scope, incomplete hypothetical, compound, relevance
196	18	197	16	vague, scope, relevance, foundation
199	15	202	8	relevance, duplicative, scope, vague, incomplete hypothetical, asked and answered, compound, speculation
204	6	204	18	duplicative, relevance, scope, incomplete hypothetical, speculative, foundation
213	6	216	6	duplicative, relevance, scope, speculative, foundation, asked and answered, vague, compound
217	11	223	9	duplicative, relevance, scope, speculative, foundation, asked and answered, vague, compound
227	24	228	6	duplicative, vague
229	10	229	22	vague, argumentative, duplicative, relevance, scope, speculative, foundation, asked and answered
229	24	230	2	duplicative, argumentative, vague, relevance, scope, speculative, foundation
230	3	230	15	witness doesn't understand the question, duplicative, argumentative, vague, relevance, scope, speculative, foundation
233	23	236	14	duplicative, relevance, scope, speculative, foundation, asked and answered, vague
238	7	238	20	scope, foundation, relevance, vague, compound
240	2	240	20	duplicative, relevance, scope, speculative, foundation, asked and answered, vague
240	12	240	20	vague, foundation, duplicative
240	22	241	9	vague, relevance
241	11	242	4	vague, foundation, duplicative, speculative, relevance
242	6	243	25	speculative, foundation, vague, witness lacks personal knowledge, relevance
248	3	248	17	form, misstates testimony, foundation, speculative, relevance, lacks personal knowledge, vague
248	19	249	10	mischarazerizes testimony, vague, relevance, scope, speculative
251	21	252	5	foundation, vague
252	7	252	24	improper hypothetical, speculation, relevance, duplicative
261	1	265	4	scope, foundation, relevance, speculative, incomplete hypothetical, outside touhy, vague
267	8	271	23	scope, foundation, relevance, speculative, incomplete hypothetical, outside touhy, vague
271	24	274	4	scope, mistates testimony, asked and answered, foundation, relevance, speculative, incomplete hypothetical, outside touhy, vague
274	10	276	2	misstates prior testimony, improper hypothetical, speculation, relevance, duplicative
277	8	282	17	scope, mistates testimony, asked and answered, foundation, relevance, speculative, incomplete hypothetical, outside touhy, vague
286	13	287	1	scope, speculation
314	21	318	7	scope, mistates testimony, asked and answered, foundation, relevance, speculative, incomplete hypothetical, outside touhy, vague
320	1	329	7	scope, mistates testimony, asked and answered, foundation, relevance, speculative, incomplete hypothetical, outside touhy, vague
331	2	331	16	scope, asked and answered, misstates prior testimony, speculation, relevance, lacks personal knowledge

Rannazzisi Joseph Volume 2 Plaintiff Objections

Start Page	Start Line	End Page	End Line	Note
517	14	517	14	Plaintiffs object to the extent that certain Defendant deposition designations of the DEA depositions and certain 30(b)(6) depositions are duplicative of one another. Plaintiffs further object to any testimony by current or former
519	13	520	15	outside scope of touhy, relevance, speculative, witness not allowed to answer
546	15	546	16	question with no answer

Reardon, Steve Objections

519	22	519	24	Hearsay	
520	1	520	24	Hearsay	
521	5	521	24	Hearsay	
522	1	522	11	Hearsay	
523	1	523	20	Hearsay	
529	1	529	23	Hearsay	

Ritchie, Bruce Objections

178	8	178	9	Relevance	
178	11	179	14	Relevance	

Saros, John Objections

4	12	4	24	Cover page, not a proper designation
9	17	9	25	Relevance
10	3	10	6	Relevance
10	15	10	19	Relevance
10	24	11	5	Relevance
11	9	11	11	Relevance
29	19	30	22	Foundation; misstates prior testimony; argumentative; completeness; witness' full answer omitted
30	25	32	6	Completeness
32	16	32	20	Completeness
33	14	33	20	Foundation; misstates prior testimony; speculation; argumentative
37	14	38	10	Foundation; misstates testimony; argumentative; speculative
38	12	38	12	Foundation; argumentative
43	15	43	20	Foundation; argumentative
44	20	45	10	Foundation; argumentative; completeness
45	17	45	20	Foundation; argumentative
49	1	49	9	Speculation; foundation; hearsay
49	11	49	15	Foundation; hearsay; speculation
49	17	50	11	Foundation; speculation; hearsay
50	14	50	14	misstates testimony; argumentative; foundation
64	16	65	14	Relevance; foundation
67	12	67	17	Relevance; foundation
87	8	87	19	Speculation; foundation; argumentative; prejudicial
87	21	87	22	Foundation; speculation
98	20	100	6	Calls for expert opinion
100	22	101	2	Argumentative; calls for expert opinion
101	4	101	8	Foundation; calls for expert opinion
101	10	101	13	Foundation; argumentative; calls for expert opinion
101	15	101	25	Relevance; calls for expert opinion on State funding of SCCS
102	3	102	8	Relevance; foundation; calls for expert opinion
102	11	102	11	Foundation; relevance; speculative
104	9	104	12	Relevance; completeness; 403
109	7	109	17	Speculation; foundation; calls for expert testimony
109	19	110	18	Foundation; argumentative; misstates testimony
110	21	111	15	Relevance; argumentative; foundation
125	6	125	14	Relevance; foundation; argumentative
125	16	126	6	Foundation; relevance; argumentative

128	3	128	7	Foundation; compound; argumentative
128	9	128	18	Relevance
128	23	129	21	Relevance
131	6	131	24	Relevance; completeness; argumentative
135	1	135	4	Foundation; completeness
135	23	136	1	Foundation; argumentative
136	4	136	12	Argumentative
136	25	137	4	Foundation; argumentative; calls for expert testimony
137	8	137	10	Calls for expert opinion
138	1	138	19	Calls for expert testimony
140	20	141	8	Compound; argumentative; calls for expert testimony
141	10	141	25	Compound; argumentative; relevance
143	10	144	6	Calls for expert testimony; relevance
157	7	157	10	Incomplete answer designated; completeness; fact could be stipulated
158	8	158	12	Argumentative; foundation; misstates testimony
174	18	174	22	Relevance; argumentative; calls for expert testimony
174	24	175	12	Relevance; argumentative; speculation
175	15	175	15	Relevance; argumentative; speculation

Strait Matthew Plaintiff Objections

Start Page	Start Line	End Page	End Line	Note
18	25	18	25	Plaintiffs object to the extent that certain Defendant deposition designations of the DEA depositions and certain 30(b)(6) depositions are duplicative of one another. Plaintiffs further object to any testimony by current or former
18	25	30	13	duplicative of other deposition designations, vague, scope, relevance, foundation
31	4	33	14	duplicative of other deposition designations, vague, scope, relevance, foundation
37	23	38	16	duplicative of other deposition designations, vague, scope, relevance, foundation
46	5	47	6	duplicative of other deposition designations, vague, scope, relevance, foundation
49	6	50	7	duplicative of other deposition designations, vague, scope, relevance, foundation, calls for privileged information
50	23	51	17	duplicative of other deposition designations, vague, scope, relevance, foundation
56	11	57	7	duplicative of other deposition designations, vague, scope, relevance, foundation
58	11	58	20	duplicative of other deposition designations, vague, scope, relevance, foundation
59	13	60	15	duplicative of other deposition designations, vague, scope, relevance, foundation, calls for privileged information
61	4	63	25	duplicative of other deposition designations, vague, scope, relevance, foundation, calls for privileged information
116	16	118	1	misstates prior testimony, relevance
121	10	121	23	relevance, asked and answered, cumulative

Tejeda, Sergio Objections no Counters

48	6	48	12	Plaintiff Supplemental Designation	
53	1	53	1	Plaintiff Supplemental Designation	
141	23	144	7	Plaintiff Supplemental Designation	
147	8	148	21	Plaintiff Supplemental Designation	
155	22	155	24	Plaintiff Supplemental Designation	
157	16	158	3	Plaintiff Supplemental Designation	
158	8	158	10	Plaintiff Supplemental Designation	
159	1	159	6	Plaintiff Supplemental Designation	
171	3	172	1	Plaintiff Supplemental Designation	
173	6	173	10	Plaintiff Supplemental Designation	
175	7	175	14	Plaintiff Supplemental Designation	
181	4	181	21	Plaintiff Counter Designation	
186	11	187	14	Plaintiff Supplemental Designation	
196	1	196	5	Plaintiff Supplemental Designation	
220	3	220	12	Plaintiff Supplemental Designation	
228	21	229	10	Plaintiff Supplemental Designation	
232	4	232	15	Plaintiff Supplemental Designation	
248	23	249	3	Plaintiff Supplemental Designation	
336	13	337	1	Plaintiff Supplemental Designation	

Thapar, Sarita Objections

100	24	101	20	Relevance; Speculative	
102	23	103	11	Relevance; Speculative	
106	6	106	19	Relevance; Speculative	
107	18	109	4	Relevance; Speculative	
109	23	111	16	Relevance; Speculative	
140	15	141	6	Relevance; Speculative	
204	2	205	8	Relevance	
243	13	243	16	Relevance; Speculative	
247	8	247	10	Relevance	
270	2	270	4	Relevance	
284	21	286	7	Relevance	
288	4	290	24	Relevance	
310	13	312	15	foundation; relevance	

Vorsanger, Gary Volume 2 Objections

486	18	486	23	Foundation, compound, relevance	
495	15	495	18	Relevance, speculative, call for expert testimony. compound	
495	20	496	11	Relevance, speculative, call for expert testimony. compound	
530	19	530	22	Relevance, foundation, compound	
530	24	531	10	Relevance, foundation, compound	
547	19	547	20	Foundation, speculative, calls for expert testimony	
547	22	548	1	Foundation, speculative, calls for expert testimony	
563	13	563	21	Speculation, foundation, relevance, hearsay, calls for expert testimony, prejudicial	
575	20	575	21	Speculation, relevance	
576	1	576	12	Speculation, relevance	
576	14	576	16	Foundation, speculation, relevance, prejudicial test	
576	18	578	11	Foundation, speculation, relevance, prejudicial test	

Walker, Donald Objections

357	10	357	25		
358	1	358	25		
359	1	359	25		
360	1	360	25	360:4-15 - Answer is Non-Responsive to Question Asked; 360:16-360:25 - Improper Narrative	
361	1	361	25	361:1-361:21 - Improper Narrative	
362	1	362	25	362:16-362:25 - Relevance; speculative	
363	1	363	25	363:1-8 - Relevance; speculative	
364	1	364	25	364:16-25-Hearsay; violates judge's ruling on irrelevant, prejudicial testimony	
365	1	365	25	365:1-11 - Hearsay; violates judge's ruling on irrelevant, prejudicial testimony	
366	1	366	25	366:1-10 - Hearsay; violates judge's ruling on irrelevant, prejudicial testimony; 366:11-21 - Leading; 366:22-25 - Relevance	
367	1	367	25	367:1-14 - Relevance; 367:15-25 - Improper Narrative	
368	1	368	25	368:1-12 - Improper Narrative	
369	1	369	25		
370	1	370	3		
370	5	370	25	Relevance; Foundation	
371	1	371	25	371:1-7 - Relevance; Foundation	
372	1	372	25	372:1-22 - Relevance; Foundation	
373	1	373	25	373:3-24 - Improper Narrative; Non-Responsive to Question Asked	
374	1	374	25	Improper Narrative	
375	1	375	25	375:1-10 - Improper Narrative; 375:11-19 - Relevance	
376	1	376	25		
377	1	377	25		
378	1	378	25		
379	1	379	25		
380	1	380	25		
381	1	381	25	381:8-25 - Vague; Improper Narrative	
382	1	382	25	382:1-8 - Vague; Improper Narrative	
383	1	383	25		
384	1	384	25		
385	1	385	25	385:8-25 - Relevance	
386	1	386	25		
387	1	387	25		
388	1	388	25		
389	1	389	25		
390	1	390	25	390:14-17 - Non-Responsive to Question Asked	
391	1	391	25		
392	1	392	25	392:18-25 - Relevance; Improper Narrative	
393	1	393	25	393:1-8 - Relevance; Improper Narrative; 393:18-25 - Relevance	
394	1	394	25	394:1-10 - Relevance; 394:24-25 - Relevance	
395	1	395	25	395:1-5 - Relevance	
396	1	396	25		
397	1	397	25	397:13-25 - Improper Narrative	
398	1	398	25	Improper Narrative	
399	1	399	25	Improper Narrative	
400	1	400	25	400:1-4 - Improper Narrative	
401	1	401	25	401:2-25 - Improper Narrative; Non-Responsive to Question Asked	
402	1	402	25	Improper Narrative; Non-responsive to question asked	
403	1	403	25	403:1-5 - Improper Narrative; Non-responsive to question asked; 403:9-25 - Relevance; Speculation	
404	1	404	25	404:1 - Relevance; Speculation; 404:2-23 - Improper Narrative; Non-Responsive to Question Asked	
405	1	405	25	405:4-6 - Hearsay beginning with "The DRAs reported"	
406	1	406	25	406:10-20 - Improper Narrative; Non-Responsive to Question Asked	
407	1	407	25	407:4-15 - Improper Narrative; Non-reposnitive to question asked	
408	1	408	25	408:1-19 - Improper Narrative; Non-reponsive to question asked; Revelance	
409	1	409	25	409:4-19 - Improper Narrative; Non-responsive to question asked; 409:20-25 - Relevance; violates court's order on irrelevant and prejudicial testimony; improper narrative	
410	1	410	11	Improper narrative; relevance; violates court's order on irrelevant and prejudicial testimony	

Woods, Mary 1-10-19 Objections

127	6	128	21	argumentative, relevance	
130	12	132	23		
139	4	140	9	Relevance	

Wright Kyle Vol 1 objections

Start Page	Start Line	End Page	End Line	Note
12	4	12	5	Plaintiffs object to the extent that certain Defendant deposition designations of the DEA depositions and certain 30(b)(6) depositions are duplicative of one another.
12	6	12	7	Plaintiffs further object to any testimony by current or former DEA agents designated by the Defendants to the extent that such testimony seeks to define what the law requires or whether Defendants' conduct violated or did not violate the law.
30	1	52	25	relevance, consultant privilege, scope, foundation, speculation
72	4	72	10	relevance, foundation, scope, speculation,
72	12	73	8	relevance, foundation, scope, speculation,
74	1	74	24	completeness, relevance, foundation, scope, speculation
75	2	77	6	relevance, foundation, scope, speculation
77	23	78	11	relevance, foundation, scope, speculation
80	17	81	11	duplicative/cumulative, relevance, foundation, scope, speculation
81	23	82	19	relevance, foundation, scope, speculation
83	16	83	23	relevance, foundation, scope, speculation
84	5	84	21	relevance, foundation, scope, speculation
85	13	86	1	relevance, foundation, scope, speculation
86	25	91	16	relevance, duplicative of other deposition designations, foundation, scope, speculation
92	7	93	22	relevance, duplicative of other deposition designations, foundation, scope, speculation
98	14	99	6	asked and answered, relevance, duplicative of other deposition designations, foundation, scope, speculation
99	24	100	5	relevance, foundation, scope, speculation
100	25	102	21	improper impeachment, relevance, foundation, scope, speculation
102	23	103	13	relevance, foundation, scope, speculation
106	6	106	22	relevance, duplicative, foundation, calls for legal conclusion, scope, speculation, argumentative
108	16	109	6	relevance, foundation, calls for legal conclusion, scope, speculation, argumentative
110	8	110	19	relevance, foundation, calls for legal conclusion, scope, speculation, argumentative
113	23	116	12	relevance, foundation, calls for legal conclusion, scope, speculation, argumentative
117	13	119	3	relevance, cumulative, foundation, calls for legal conclusion, scope, speculation, argumentative
119	23	124	7	relevance, cumulative, foundation, calls for legal conclusion, scope, speculation, argumentative
125	5	126	16	relevance, cumulative, foundation, calls for legal conclusion, scope, speculation, argumentative
127	1	129	22	relevance, cumulative, foundation, calls for legal conclusion, scope, speculation, argumentative
131	5	133	11	improper impeachment, relevance, foundation, scope, speculation
134	6	137	7	relevance, duplicative, foundation, calls for legal conclusion, scope, speculation, argumentative
140	2	142	25	relevance, duplicative, foundation, improper use of document, scope, speculation, argumentative
143	2	143	12	relevance, duplicative, foundation, calls for legal conclusion, scope, speculation, argumentative
143	19	147	18	relevance, duplicative, foundation, calls for legal conclusion, scope, speculation, argumentative
148	9	149	12	improper impeachment, relevance, foundation, scope, speculation
150	9	151	8	relevance, duplicative, foundation, scope, speculative, argumentative
151	23	152	8	relevance, duplicative, foundation, calls for legal conclusion, scope, speculation, argumentative
153	19	154	19	relevance, duplicative, foundation, calls for legal conclusion, scope, speculation, argumentative
159	12	160	5	relevance, duplicative, foundation, calls for legal conclusion, scope, speculation, argumentative
162	23	163	7	relevance
164	1	166	20	relevance, duplicative, foundation, improper hypothetical, scope
167	13	168	21	scope, relevance, speculative, foundation
170	2	170	20	asked and answered, relevance, duplicative of other deposition designations, foundation, scope, speculation
171	18	172	1	asked and answered, relevance, duplicative of other deposition designations, foundation, scope, speculation
172	10	172	23	relevance, cumulative, foundation, calls for legal conclusion, scope, speculation, argumentative
172	23	175	10	relevance, cumulative, foundation, calls for legal conclusion, scope, speculation, argumentative
179	8	181	8	relevance, scope, speculative
181	18	182	2	relevance, scope, speculative
182	7	183	17	relevance, scope, speculative
184	11	185	23	relevance, cumulative, foundation, calls for legal conclusion, scope, speculation, argumentative
186	13	187	25	relevance, cumulative, foundation, calls for legal conclusion, scope, speculation, argumentative
189	16	189	25	relevance, cumulative, foundation, calls for legal conclusion, scope, speculation, argumentative
191	13	191	20	relevance, cumulative, foundation, calls for legal conclusion, scope, speculation, argumentative
193	11	194	13	relevance, cumulative, foundation, calls for legal conclusion, scope, speculation, argumentative
195	3	199	10	relevance, cumulative, foundation, calls for legal conclusion, scope, speculation, argumentative
201	24	202	1	relevance
202	24	202	25	incomplete
208	5	208	24	relevance, cumulative, foundation, calls for legal conclusion, scope, speculation, argumentative
211	9	211	21	relevance, completeness, foundation, scope
212	4	213	7	asked and answered, foundation, scope, speculation
214	56	215	18	speculative, cumulative
217	5	217	22	cumulative, relevance
218	17	219	17	cumulative, relevance, scope, speculative
220	20	221	3	cumulative, relevance, scope, speculative
223	10	224	25	cumulative, foundation, relevance, scope, speculative
225	18	225	19	answer with no question
225	24	226	12	cumulative, foundation, witness lacks knowledge, scope, speculative, overbroad, relevance, calls for expert testimony
228	9	228	19	cumulative, foundation, witness lacks knowledge, scope, speculative, overbroad, relevance, calls for expert testimony
229	4	230	20	cumulative, foundation, witness lacks knowledge, scope, speculative, overbroad, relevance, calls for expert testimony
231	15	232	14	foundation, improper hypothetical, scope, speculative, overbroad, relevance
233	19	234	20	foundation, improper hypothetical, scope, speculative, overbroad, relevance
235	10	235	13	cumulative, relevance, scope, speculative
236	2	236	5	relevance
237	9	239	5	relevance, calls for expert testimony
245	11	245	16	relevance, argumentative, speculative
247	12	247	24	relevance, argumentative, speculative
255	4	256	8	cumulative, relevance, scope, speculative
259	12	259	17	calls for legal conclusion
261	2	262	4	relevance, speculative

Wright Kyle vol 2 Objections

Start Page	Start Line	End Page	End Line	Note	
278	4	278	4	Plaintiffs object to the extent that certain Defendant deposition designations of the DEA depositions and certain 30(b)(6) depositions are duplicative of one another.	
278	5	278	5	Plaintiffs further object to any testimony by current or former DEA agents designated by the Defendants to the extent that such testimony seeks to define what the law requires or whether DefendantsÕ conduct violated or did n	
478	23	479	10	relevance, cumulative	
479	21	480	19	relevance, cumulative, argumentative	
481	10	481	17	relevance, cumulative, argumentative	
487	7	487	23	asked and answered, argumentative, cumulative, relevance	
488	16	289	15	speculative, cumulative, relevance	
491	22	492	4	relevance, cumulative	
496	8	497	15	calls for legal confusion, relevance, speculative	
499	1	499	3	relevance	
501	4	501	8	relevance	
503	8	503	20	cumulative, misstates prior testimony, relevance	
523	5	527	13	improper questioning based on improper use of transcript, argumentative, completeness, improper impeachment, relevance, scope	
532	19	533	6	asked and answered, argumentative, cumulative, relevance	
536	1	528	19	asked and answered, argumentative, cumulative, relevance	
539	5	539	16	relevance, cumulative, argumentative	
542	4	543	11	scope, relevance	
544	7	547	8	scope, relevance, argumentative	
550	12	550	25	relevance, cumulative, argumentative, scope, speculative	
554	11	554	25	scope, relevance, argumentative	